

# Model antibiotic use to improve outcomes

James N Fullerton<sup>1</sup>, Oscar Della Pasqua<sup>2</sup>, Robert Likic<sup>3, 4</sup>, ✉

<sup>1</sup>University College London, Centre for Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics, London, United Kingdom

<sup>2</sup>University College London, School of Pharmacy, London, United Kingdom

<sup>3</sup>University of Zagreb, School of Medicine, Zagreb, Croatia

<sup>4</sup>University Hospital Centre Zagreb, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics, Zagreb, Croatia

✉ Associate professor Robert Likic, MD, PhD  
University Hospital Centre Zagreb  
Department of Internal Medicine  
Unit of Clinical Pharmacology  
Kispaticeva 12, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia  
Tel: +385 (0)1 2388 288  
Mobile: +385 (91) 4922 638, -□3649  
Fax: +385 (0)1 2421 568  
Email: robert.likic@mef.hr  
Email2: [rlkic@kbc-zagreb.hr](mailto:rlkic@kbc-zagreb.hr)

Words: 1299

Figures: 0

Tables: 0

References: 11

All co-authors took part in preparation and writing of the manuscript and agree with the final version of the commentary that is being submitted to the British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology. This manuscript is neither being submitted nor is undergoing review in any other journal at this time.

Conflicts of interests: all coauthors disclose no conflicts of interests.

Traditional approaches to antibiotic administration in key infective indications are being challenged. Last year saw the publication of two important trials, both in the *New England Journal of Medicine*, describing the non-inferiority of oral (or partial oral) treatment of osteomyelitis and left-sided endocarditis compared to standard intravenous administration.<sup>1</sup> These build on a growing literature that supports the use of prolonged antibiotic infusions over classical bolus dosing in the critical care setting for patients with sepsis: a recent meta-analysis demonstrating that infusions lead to a higher cure rate and lower hospital mortality.<sup>2</sup>

Improving our use of existing antibiotics has clear benefits at both the individual and societal level. For a given patient, potential advantages may include treatment efficacy, a preferable route of administration, shorter duration of therapy and associated length of hospital stay (with attendant cost and risks), along with a reduced rate of side effects. More broadly, it is expected that optimised therapy designed to promote compliance with treatment and pathogen clearance will form one part of a comprehensive antimicrobial stewardship programme to limit the development of antibiotic resistance.

Generating the evidence to support modification of antibiotic prescription is however not simple. Antimicrobial drugs are used in a number of different clinical contexts and situations. These include prophylactically (e.g. of urinary tract infections or in the peri-operative period), reactively in the treatment of localised and systemic infections, empirically when the causative pathogen is unknown or in a targeted manner when it is, and often in special situations and patient populations. 'Established', antibiotic dosing and duration of treatment for each indication frequently varies between practitioners and centres. Despite large variation between patients (e.g. severity of infection, causative pathogen, renal and hepatic function, comorbidities and co-prescriptions), and in the absence of widespread availability of therapeutic drug monitoring of plasma and tissues antibiotic concentrations, the efficacy of pragmatic standardised doses is commonly assumed for certain pathologies or in prescribed settings.

Given this complex landscape, an explicit explanation of the underlying pharmacological rationale of a proposed novel antibiotic strategy, ideally supported by pharmacometric data, is crucial to our ability to judge comparative efficacy and safety.<sup>3</sup> Too often this is absent from reports. Clearly a suggested dosing strategy must additionally integrate the intended context in which an antimicrobial will be used, as well as the patient population involved.

An antibiotic's concentration in the host in relation to time is described by its pharmacokinetics (PK), while its concentration and time dependent interactions with bacteria in the host are described by its pharmacodynamics (PD). Based on their effect on bacteria, antibiotics can be divided into two major groups - bactericidal and bacteriostatic – although classification may vary dependent on bacterial strain and *in vitro* determined bacterial minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC). Based on their PD, antibiotics can therefore be divided into two categories: those with time-dependent (e.g. beta-lactams, cephalosporins, vancomycin) and those with concentration-dependent (e.g. aminoglycosides, fluoroquinolones) bactericidal effect.<sup>4</sup> Maximizing the duration of exposure to time-dependent active antibiotics can be achieved via three methods: dose increase, prolonging the infusion time or shortening the dosing interval. This is also true of concentration-dependent antibiotics, although route of administration may additionally exert a significant impact.

In the case of beta-lactam antibiotics (penicillins, cephalosporins), optimal bactericidal effect is achieved depending on the time through which drug concentrations in the host are kept above the MIC of the bacteria causing the infection. Usually, the aim is to maintain the antibiotic concentrations at 2 to 4 times over the MIC across 40 to 60 percent of the dosing interval. For concentration-

dependent bactericidal antibiotics, increased antibacterial activity is accomplished with increased drug concentration in the host. The efficacy of the antibiotics is determined based on their peak concentration and the area under the concentration curve.<sup>5</sup> Typically, antibiotic concentrations of up to 10 times over the MIC are required for best antibacterial activity.<sup>6</sup>

Explicating integration of these fundamental principles and the value of applied PK/PD modelling to a targeted population, several recent articles in the British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology have sought to describe optimised antibiotic administration – specifically cefuroxime – in the context of surgical prophylaxis. Improve our use of existing antibiotics and that improved antibiotic dosing strategies can be identified via appropriate use of predictive models of clinical response based on pharmacodynamic targets. Such models can be run with different kind of data pertaining to the dose, interval and infusion time in order to evaluate the likelihood of reaching target antibiotic concentrations over MIC. Moreover, such models can be adjusted even further to account for specific patient populations' characteristics, patterns of antibiotic resistance as well as local bacterial MIC spreads. A recent workshop and related publication by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases outlines best practice in dose selection and clinical PK/PD for the development of *new* antimicrobial agents.<sup>10</sup> We believe the same rigour and principles need to apply to all studies exploring novel uses of, or approaches to administering established agents. This should maximise the chances of success, permit greater understanding of unexpected or 'negative' clinical results and hopefully facilitate translation and further advances across drug classes, pathogen type and tissue site.

Reliance on and exposition of pharmacological principles in studies seeking to re-purpose or optimise antimicrobial use is vital. Today, preclinical PK and PD data, as well as clinical PK data can be used for predictive PD modelling in order to establish dosing regimens with a greater chance of achieving in vivo PK/PD targets that will lead to best treatment outcomes for the patients in clinical trials. The future of PD modelling will likely take into account PK extremes across the population, such as renal and hepatic function, body weight as well as characteristics of paediatric population. There are clear limitations to a pharmacometric approach - a lack of assays for all drugs, where the dose-concentration-response relationship is uncertain<sup>11</sup> – however it is anticipated that a better understanding of an antimicrobial's pharmacology through dose modelling will allow improved future trial protocols and maybe even current clinical practice. Most importantly, we hope that optimised, pharmacologically driven antibiotic dosing will lead to further demonstrable improvement in outcomes for patients as well as help reduce the development of antimicrobial resistance.

## References

1. Iversen K, Ihlemann N, Gill SU, et al. Partial Oral versus Intravenous Antibiotic Treatment of Endocarditis. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;380(5):415-424. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1808312.
2. Roberts JA, Abdul-Aziz MH, Davis JS, et al. Continuous versus Intermittent  $\beta$ -Lactam Infusion in Severe Sepsis. A Meta-analysis of Individual Patient Data from Randomized Trials. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2016;194(6):681-691. doi:10.1164/rccm.201601-0024OC.
3. Drenth-van Maanen AC, Wilting I, Jansen PAF. Prescribing medicines to older people-How to consider the impact of ageing on human organ and body functions [published online ahead of print, 2019 Aug 19]. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2019;10.1111/bcp.14094. doi:10.1111/bcp.14094
4. Lodise TP, Lomaestro BM, Drusano GL; Society of Infectious Diseases Pharmacists. Application of antimicrobial pharmacodynamic concepts into clinical practice: focus on beta-lactam antibiotics: insights from the Society of Infectious Diseases Pharmacists. *Pharmacotherapy*. 2006 Sep;26(9):1320-32. Review. PubMed PMID: 16945055.
5. Levison ME. Pharmacodynamics of antimicrobial drugs. *Infect Dis Clin North Am*. 2004 Sep;18(3):451-65, vii. Review.
6. Quintiliani, R. Using pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic concepts to optimize treatment of infectious diseases. *Infections in Medicine*. 2004; 21. 219-232.
7. Gertler R, Gruber M, Wiesner G, Grassin-Delyle S, Urien S, Tassani-Prell P, Martin K. Pharmacokinetics of cefuroxime in infants and neonates undergoing cardiac surgery. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2018 Sep;84(9):2020-2028.
8. Skhirtladze-Dworschak K, Hutschala D, Reining G, Dittrich P, Bartunek A, Dworschak M, Tschernko EM. Cefuroxime plasma and tissue concentrations in patients undergoing elective cardiac surgery: Continuous vs bolus application. A pilot study. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2019 Apr;85(4):818-826.
9. Rimmler C, Lanckohr C, Akamp C, Horn D, Fobker M, Wiebe K, Redwan B, Ellger B, Koeck R, Hempel G. Physiologically based pharmacokinetic evaluation of cefuroxime in perioperative antibiotic prophylaxis. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2019 Dec;85(12):2864-2877.
10. Rizk ML, Bhavnani SM, Drusano G, Dane A, Eakin AE, Guina T, Jang SH, Tomayko JF, Wang J, Zhuang L, Lodise TP. Considerations for Dose Selection and Clinical Pharmacokinetics/Pharmacodynamics for the Development of Antibacterial Agents. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2019 Apr 25;63(5).
11. Wright DFB, Martin JH, Cremers S. Spotlight Commentary: Model-informed precision dosing must demonstrate improved patient outcomes. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2019;85(10):2238-2240. doi:10.1111/bcp.14050