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ABSTRACT

This is the protocol for a review and there is no abstract. The objectives are as follows:

To determine whether a therapeutic-only platelet transfusion policy (platelet transfusions given when patient bleeds) is as effective and safe as a prophylactic platelet transfusion policy (platelet transfusions given to prevent bleeding usually when the platelet count falls below a given trigger level) in patients with haematological disorders undergoing myelosuppressive chemotherapy or stem cell transplantation.

BACKGROUND

Description of the condition

Haematological malignancies account for between 8% and 9% of all new cancers reported in the UK and US (CDC 2012; ONS 2012), and their incidence is increasing (11% to 14% increase in new cases of lymphoma and myeloma between 1991 to 2001 and 2008 to 2010) (Cancer Research UK 2013). The prevalence of these disorders is also increasing due to increased survival rates (Coleman 2004; Rachet 2009). These improved survival rates are due to the introduction of intensive chemotherapy treatments and use of stem cell transplantation (Burnett 2011; Fielding 2007; Patel 2009). Over 50,000 haematopoietic stem cell transplants (HSCTs) are carried out annually worldwide (Grunwold 2010), and are used to treat both malignant and non-malignant haema-
Platelet transfusions have an obvious beneficial effect in the management of active bleeding in patients with haematological malignancy and severe thrombocytopenia. However, questions still remain on how this limited resource should be used to prevent severe and life-threatening bleeding (Estcourt 2011). Prophylactic platelet transfusions for patients with chemotherapy-induced thrombocytopenia became standard practice following the publication of several, small, randomised controlled trials (RCTs) in the late 1970s and early 1980s (Higby 1974; Murphy 1982; Solomon 1978).

This review does not focus on the absolute need for platelet transfusions in this patient population but will instead focus on whether a prophylactic platelet transfusion policy is required. The standard practice in most haematology units across the developed world is to use prophylactic transfusions, in line with guidelines (Board 2009; BCSH 2003; BCSH 2004; NBA 2012; Schiffer 2001; Slichter 2007; Tinnmouth 2007). The experimental intervention will be to give platelet transfusions only when bleeding occurs (therapeutic-only strategy).

How the intervention might work

**Prophylactic platelets versus therapeutic-only platelet transfusions**

A retrospective review of almost 3000 thrombocytopenic adult patients over a 10-year period showed no relationship between the first morning platelet count, or the lowest platelet count of the day, and the risk of severe or life-threatening bleeding (World Health Organization (WHO) grade 3 to 4 bleeding) (Friedmann 2002). This raised the question as to whether a threshold-defined prophylactic platelet transfusion approach is appropriate. Further large studies have confirmed this finding and also shown no relationship between the morning platelet count and the risk of clinically-significant bleeding (WHO grade 2 bleeding) the following day except at very low platelet counts ($\leq 5 \times 10^9/L$) (Slichter 2010; Wautt 2012). Further support for the absence of a relationship between the severity of thrombocytopenia and bleeding came from a review of case reports of severe intracranial haemorrhage. No clear evidence was found for an association between the occurrence of major intracranial bleeding and absolute platelet count just prior to the onset of severe bleeding (Stanworth 2005). Thus, the overall benefit of a prophylactic platelet transfusion policy over a policy to use platelets only therapeutically, using a platelet count threshold, has not been established. A recent trial suggested a therapeutic-only platelet transfusion policy might become the new standard of care in selected patients, however the primary endpoint for this study was a reduction in the number of platelet transfusions, rather than a clinical outcome such as bleeding (Wautt 2012). Another large RCT (TOPPS trial) has just been completed and may answer this question (Stanworth 2010; Stanworth 2012).
Assessment of bleeding

A bleeding assessment is a more clinically-relevant measure of the effect of platelet transfusions than surrogate markers such as platelet count increment. Any review that uses bleeding as a primary outcome measure needs to assess the way that the trials have recorded bleeding. Unfortunately, the way bleeding has been recorded and assessed has varied markedly between trials (Cook 2004; Estcourt 2013a; Heddle 2009a).

Retrospective analysis of bleeding leads to a risk of bias because bleeding events may be missed, and only more severe bleeding is likely to have been documented. Prospective bleeding assessment forms provide more information and are less likely to miss bleeding events. However, different assessors may grade the same bleed differently and it is very difficult to blind the assessor to the intervention.

The majority of trials have used the WHO system, or a modification of it, for grading bleeding (Estcourt 2013a; Koreth 2004; WHO 1979). One limitation of all the scoring systems that are based on the WHO system is that the categories are relatively broad and subjective. This means that a small change in a patient’s bleeding risk may not be detected. Another limitation is that the modified WHO categories are partially defined by whether a bleeding patient requires a blood transfusion. The threshold for intervention may vary between clinicians and institutions and so the same level of bleeding could be graded differently in different institutions.

The definition of what constitutes clinically-significant bleeding has varied between studies. Although the majority of more recent platelet transfusion studies (Heddle 2009a; Slichter 2010; Stanworth 2012; Wändt 2012) now classify it as WHO grade 2 or above, there has been greater heterogeneity in the past (Cook 2004; Estcourt 2013a; Koreth 2004). The difficulties with assessing and grading bleeding may limit the ability to compare results between studies and this needs to be kept in mind when reviewing the evidence for the effectiveness of prophylactic platelet transfusions.

Why it is important to do this review

Considerable advances have been made in platelet transfusion therapy in the last 40 years, however three major areas continue to provoke debate:

• Firstly, what is the optimal prophylactic platelet dose to prevent thrombocytopenic bleeding?
• Secondly, which threshold should be used to trigger the transfusion of prophylactic platelets?
• Thirdly, are prophylactic platelet transfusions superior to therapeutic-only platelet transfusions for the prevention and/or control of life-threatening thrombocytopenic bleeding?

The initial formulation of this Cochrane review attempted to answer these questions, but there was insufficient evidence available at the time for any definitive conclusions to be drawn (Stanworth 2004). Although the original review was recently updated (Estcourt 2012a), it is now out-dated because two new large studies have recently been completed (Stanworth 2012; Wändt 2012). There is now sufficient additional information regarding these different questions. For clarity and simplicity the review has now been split to answer each question separately.

This review will focus solely on the third question: are prophylactic platelet transfusions superior to therapeutic-only platelet transfusions for the prevention and/or control of life-threatening thrombocytopenic bleeding?

The other two questions will be assessed by two separate reviews, with an additional third review assessing the use of alternative agents instead of prophylactic platelet transfusions.

Avoiding the need for unnecessary prophylactic platelet transfusions in haematology patients will have significant logistical and financial implications for national health services as well as decreasing patients’ exposure to the risks of transfusion. This knowledge is perhaps even more important in the development of platelet transfusion strategies in the developing world, where access to blood components is much more limited (Verma 2009).

This review will not assess whether there are any differences in the efficacy of apheresis versus whole-blood derived platelet products, the efficacy of pathogen-reduced platelet components, the efficacy of HLA-matched versus random donor platelets, or differences between ABO identical and ABO non-identical platelet transfusions. These topics have been covered by recent systematic reviews (Butler 2013; Heddle 2008; Pavenski 2013; Shehata 2009).

OBJECTIVES

To determine whether a therapeutic-only platelet transfusion policy (platelet transfusions given when patient bleeds) is as effective and safe as a prophylactic platelet transfusion policy (platelet transfusions given to prevent bleeding usually when the platelet count falls below a given trigger level) in patients with haematological disorders undergoing myelo suppressive chemotherapy or stem cell transplantation.

METHODS

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies

We will include randomised controlled trials (RCTs). There will be no restrictions on language or publication status.
Types of participants

Patients with haematological disorders receiving treatment with myelosuppressive chemotherapy and/or stem cell transplantation. We will include people of all ages and we will include both inpatients and outpatients.

If trials consist of mixed populations of patients, e.g. patients with diagnoses of solid tumours, only data from the haematological subgroups will be used. If subgroup data for haematological patients are not provided (after contacting the authors of the trial), the trial will be excluded if fewer than 80% of participants have a haematological disorder. Any patients who are not being treated with intensive chemotherapy or a stem cell transplant will be excluded. We will include patients with non-malignant haematological disorders (e.g. aplastic anaemia, congenital bone marrow failure syndromes) that are being treated with an allogeneic stem cell transplant.

Types of interventions

Patients in both treatment arms will receive transfusions of platelet concentrates, prepared either from individual units of whole blood or by apheresis to treat bleeding (therapeutic platelet transfusions). Patients in the control arm will also receive prophylactic platelet transfusions. Prophylactic platelet transfusions are typically given when the platelet count falls below a given trigger level. There will be no restriction on the dose, frequency, type of platelet component, or transfusion trigger of the platelet transfusions but we will take this information into account in the analysis, where available. We will include the following comparisons:

- Therapeutic-only platelet transfusions (on-demand triggered by bleeding) versus prophylactic platelet transfusions
- Placebo versus prophylactic platelet transfusions

Types of outcome measures

Primary outcomes

Number and severity of bleeding episodes within 30 days from the start of the study:

- The number of patients with at least one bleeding episode.
- The total number of days on which bleeding occurred per patient.
- The number of patients with at least one episode of severe or life-threatening bleeding.
- Time to first bleeding episode from the start of the study.

Secondary outcomes

- Mortality (all-causes, secondary to bleeding, and secondary to infection) within 30 days and 90 days from the start of the study.

Search methods for identification of studies

The Systematic Review Initiative (SRI) Information Specialist (CD) formulated new search strategies in collaboration with the Cochrane Haematological Malignancies Review Group based on those used in previous versions of this review (Estcourt 2012a; Stanworth 2004).

Electronic searches

We will search for randomised controlled trials in the following databases:

- CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library) (Appendix 1)
- MEDLINE (Ovid, 1946 to the present) (Appendix 2)
- PubMed (epublications only) (Appendix 3)
- Embase (Ovid, 1974 to the present) (Appendix 4)
- CINAHL (EBSCOhost, 1982 to the present) (Appendix 5)
- UKBiTS/SRI Transfusion Evidence Library (www.transfusionevidencelibrary.com) (1980 to the present) (Appendix 6)
- Web of Science: Conference Proceedings Citation Index-Science (CPCI-S) (Thomson Reuters, 1990 to the present) (Appendix 7)
- Lilacs (BIEREM PAHO/WHO, 1982 to the present) (Appendix 8)
- IndMed (ICMR-NIC, 1985 to the present) (Appendix 9)
- KoreaMed (KAMJE, 1997 to the present) (Appendix 10)
- PakMediNet (2001 to the present) (Appendix 10)

Searches will be updated from the original search in January 2002 (Stanworth 2004) and the updated search on 10th November 2011 (Estcourt 2012a). Searches in MEDLINE, Embase and CINAHL will be combined with adaptations of the Cochrane RCT search filters, as detailed in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (Lefebvre 2011).

**Databases of ongoing trials**

We will also search ClinicalTrials.gov (http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/search) (Appendix 11), the WHO International Clinical Trials Registry (ICTRP) (http://apps.who.int/trialsearch/) (Appendix 11), the ISRCTN Register (http://www.controlledtrials.com/ISRCTN/) (Appendix 12), the EU Clinical Trials Register (https://www.clinicaltrialsregister.eu/ctr-search) (Appendix 12) and the Hong Kong Clinical Trials Register (http://www.hkclinicaltrials.com/) (Appendix 13) in order to identify ongoing trials. All new search strategies are presented as indicated in Appendices 1-13. Search strategies for both the original (2002) and update (2011) searches are presented in Appendix 14.

**Searching other resources**

**Handsearching of reference lists**

We will check references of all included trials, relevant review articles and current treatment guidelines for further literature. These searches will be limited to the ‘first generation’ reference lists.

**Personal contacts**

We will contact authors of relevant studies, study groups and experts worldwide known to be active in the field for unpublished material or further information on ongoing studies.

**General information**

Review author’s name, date of data extraction, study ID, reference manager number, first author of study, author’s contact address (if available), citation of paper, objectives of the trial.
Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

The 'Risk of bias' assessment will be updated from the 'Risk of bias' assessment performed for the previous version of this review (Estcourt 2012a).

Two review authors (GC, LE) will assess all newly-included studies for possible risk of bias (as described in the Cochrane Handbook (Higgins 2011c)). The assessment will include information about the design, conduct and analysis of the trial. Each criterion will be evaluated on a three-point scale: low risk of bias, high risk of bias, or unclear. To assess risk of bias, the following questions will be included in the 'Risk of bias' table for each included study:

- Was the allocation sequence adequately generated?
- Was allocation adequately concealed?
- Was knowledge of the allocated intervention adequately prevented during the study (including an assessment of blinding of participants, personnel, and outcome assessors)?
- Were incomplete outcome data adequately addressed (for every outcome separately)?
- Are reports of the study free of selective outcome reporting?
- Was the study apparently free of other problems that could put it at risk of bias?

Measures of treatment effect

For dichotomous outcomes the number of outcomes in treatment and control groups will be recorded and the treatment effect measures across individual studies will be estimated as the relative effect measures (relative risk (RR) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs)).

For continuous outcomes, the mean and standard deviations will be recorded. For continuous outcomes measured using the same scale the effect measure will be the mean difference (MD) with 95% CIs, or the standardised mean difference (SMD) for outcomes measured using different scales.

For time-to-event outcomes we will extract the hazard ratio (HR) from published data according to Parmar 1998 and Tierney 2007. If appropriate, the number needed to treat to benefit (NNTB) with CIs and the number needed to treat to harm (NNTH) with CIs will be reported.

If the data available cannot be reported in any of the formats described above a narrative report will be performed.

Dealing with missing data

Missing data will be dealt with according to the recommendations in the Cochrane Handbook (Higgins 2011b). We will contact authors in order to obtain information that is missing or unclear in the published report.

In trials that include patients with haematological disorders as well as patients with solid tumours or non-malignant haematological disorders, data will be extracted for the haematology subgroup that is receiving chemotherapy or a stem cell transplantation from...
the general trial data. If this cannot be done the author will be contacted.
Within an outcome, when there are missing data, the preferred analysis will be an intention-to-treat analysis (ITT). The number of patients lost to follow-up will be recorded for each trial.

Assessment of heterogeneity
If studies are considered sufficiently homogenous in their study design, we will conduct a meta-analysis and assess the statistical heterogeneity (Deeks 2011). Statistical heterogeneity of treatment effects between trials will be assessed using a Chi² test with a significance level at P < 0.1. The I² statistic will be used to quantify possible heterogeneity (I² > 50% moderate heterogeneity, I² > 80% considerable heterogeneity). Potential causes of heterogeneity will be explored by sensitivity and subgroup analyses if possible.

Assessment of reporting biases
We will explore meta-analyses with at least 10 trials for potential publication bias (small trial bias) by generating a funnel plot and statistically test using a linear regression test. We will consider a P value of less than 0.1 significant for this test (Sterne 2011).

Data synthesis
Analyses will be performed according to the recommendations of the Cochrane Collaboration (Deeks 2011). Aggregated data will be used for analysis. For statistical analysis, data will be entered into Review Manager 2012. Where meta-analysis is feasible, the fixed-effect model will be used for pooling the data. The Mantel-Haenszel method will be used for dichotomous outcomes, and the inverse variance method for continuous outcomes. The generic inverse variance method will be employed for time-to-event outcomes. We will use the random-effects model for sensitivity analyses as part of the exploration of heterogeneity. If heterogeneity, as expressed by the I², is found to be above 50%, both the fixed-effect and random-effects models will be reported. If heterogeneity is found to be above 80%, we will not perform a meta-analysis and results will be commented on as a narrative.
GRADEprofiler will be used to create 'Summary of findings' tables as suggested in the Cochrane Handbook (Schünemann 2011). This will include the number and severity of bleeding episodes within 30 days from the start of the study, overall mortality at 30 days, and quality of life.

Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity
Two subgroup analyses have been pre-specified prior to the previous version of this review; these are fever and patients' diagnostic and treatment subgroups. We will consider performing subgroup analysis on the following characteristics, if appropriate:
- Presence of fever (≥ 38°C)
- Underlying disease
- Type of treatment (autologous HSCT, allogeneic HSCT, or chemotherapy alone)
- Age of the patient (paediatric, adults, older adults (> 60 years))

Meta-regression will be performed if subgroups contain more than 10 studies (Deeks 2011). Differences between subgroups will be compared using a random-effects model when the two subgroups are independent following the guidance in Chapter 9 of the Cochrane Handbook (Deeks 2011). If this is not possible then differences will be commented on as a narrative.
Investigation of heterogeneity between studies will also include, if appropriate:
- Age of the study (as the type of platelet component has changed over the last 40 years)
- Different platelet component doses
- Different prophylactic platelet transfusion thresholds

Sensitivity analysis
Robustness of the overall results will be assessed by sensitivity analysis with respect to those trials deemed at high risk of bias. For dichotomous data, the influence of participant drop-out will be assessed by analysing separately RCTs with less than 20% drop-out, RCTs with 20% to 50% drop-out and RCTs with greater than 50% drop-out. We will use the random-effects model for sensitivity analyses as part of the exploration of heterogeneity.
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Appendix 1. CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library) 2013 search strategy

#1 MeSH descriptor: [Blood Platelets] explode all trees
#2 (platelet* or thrombocyte*):ti
#3 #1 or #2
#4 MeSH descriptor: [Blood Transfusion] explode all trees
#5 transfus*:ti
#6 #4 or #5
#7 #3 and #6
#8 MeSH descriptor: [Platelet Transfusion] explode all trees
#9 MeSH descriptor: [Platelethpheresis] explode all trees
#10 ((platelet* or thrombocyte*) near/5 (prophyla* or transfus* or infus* or administ* or requir* or need* or product or products or component* or concentrate* or apheres* or pooled or single donor or random donor))
#11 thrombocytopheresis* or plateletpheres *
#12 (platelet* or thrombocyte*) near/5 (protocol* or trigger* or threshold* or schedul* or dose* or dosing or usage or utilisation or utilization))
#13 #7 or #8 or #9 or #10 or #11 or #12
#14 MeSH descriptor: [Hematologic Neoplasms] explode all trees
#15 MeSH descriptor: [Leukemia] explode all trees
#16 MeSH descriptor: [Lymphoma] explode all trees
#17 MeSH descriptor: [Multiple Myeloma] explode all trees
#18 MeSH descriptor: [Anemia, Aplastic] explode all trees
#19 MeSH descriptor: [Bone Marrow Diseases] explode all trees
#20 MeSH descriptor: [Thrombocytopenia] explode all trees
#21 (thrombocytope* or leukemi* or leukaemi* or lymphoma* or aplastic anemia or aplastic anaemia or myelodysplas* or myeloproliferat* or multiple myeloma or plasma cell myeloma or thrombocythemi* or thrombocythaemi* or polycythaemi* or polycythemi* or myelofibros* or AML or CLL or CML or Hodgkin*)
#22 ((haematolog* or hematolog* or blood or red cell* or white cell* or lymph* or marrow or platelet*) near/3 (malignan* or oncolog* or cancer* or neoplasm*))
#23 MeSH descriptor: [Antineoplastic Agents] explode all trees
#24 MeSH descriptor: [Stem Cell Transplantation] explode all trees
#25 MeSH descriptor: [Bone Marrow Transplantation] this term only
#26 MeSH descriptor: [Radiotherapy] explode all trees
#27 (chemotherap* or radiotherap* or chemoradiotherap* or chemo-radiotherap* or stem cell* or bone marrow transplant*)
#28 ((haematolog* or hematolog* or haemato-oncolog* or haemato- oncolog*) near/2 patients)
#29 (malignan* or oncolog* or cancer*):ti
#30 #14 or #15 or #16 or #17 or #18 or #19 or #20 or #21 or #22 or #23 or #24 or #25 or #26 or #27 or #28 or #29
#31 #13 and #30

Appendix 2. MEDLINE (Ovid) search strategy (Nov 2011-2013)

1. BLOOD PLATELETS/
2. (platelet* or thrombocyte*).ti.
3. 1 or 2
4. exp BLOOD TRANSFUSION/
5. transfus*.ti.
6. 4 or 5
7. 3 and 6
8. PLATELET TRANSFUSION/
9. PLATELETPHERESIS/
10. ((platelet* or thrombocyte*) adj5 (prophylax* or transfus* or infus* or administ* or requir* or need* or product* or component* or concentrate* or apheres* or pooled or single donor or random donor)).tw.
11. ((thrombocytopenes* or plateletpheres*).tw.
12. ((platelet* or thrombocyte*) adj5 (protocol* or trigger* or threshold* or schedul* or dose* or dosing or usage or utilitation)).tw.
13. or/7-12
14. exp Hematologic Neoplasms/
15. exp Leukemia/ or exp Lymphoma/
16. exp Multiple Myeloma/
17. exp Anemia, Aplastic/
18. exp Bone Marrow Diseases/
19. exp Thrombocytopenia/
20. (thrombocytopeni* or thrombocytopena* or leukemia or leukaemia or lymphoma* or aplastic anemia or aplastic anaemia or myelodysplas* or myeloproliferat* or multiple myeloma or plasma cell myeloma or thrombocythemi* or thrombocythaemi* or polycythemi* or polycythemi* or myelofibros* or AML or CLL or CML or Hodgkin*).tw.
Appendix 3. PubMed search strategy (epublications only)

#1 ((platelet* OR thrombocyte*) AND (prophyla* OR transfus* OR infus* OR administ* OR requir* OR need* OR product OR products OR component* OR concentrate* OR apheres* OR pooled OR single donor OR random donor OR protocol* OR trigger* OR threshold* OR schedul* OR dose OR doses OR dosing OR usage OR utilisation OR utilization))
#2 thrombocytepheres* OR plateletpheres*
#3 #1 OR #2
#4 (thrombocytop* OR leuemi* OR leukaemi* OR lymphoma* OR aplastic anemia OR aplastic anaemia OR myelodysplas* OR myeloproliferat* OR multiple myeloma OR plasma cell myeloma OR thrombocythemi* OR thrombocythaemi* OR polycythemi* OR polycytthaemi* OR myelofibros* OR Hodgkin*)
#5 ((haematolog* OR hematolog* OR blood OR red cell* OR white cell* OR lymphom* OR marrow OR platelet*) AND (malignan* OR oncolog* OR cancer OR cancers OR neoplasm*))
#6 #4 OR #5
#7 #3 AND #6
#8 (random* OR blind* OR control group* OR placebo OR controlled trial OR controlled study OR trials OR systematic review OR meta-analysis OR metaanalysis OR literature OR medline OR cochrane OR embase) AND (publisher[sb] NOT pubstatusnihms)
#9 #7 AND #8

Appendix 4. EMBASE (Ovid) search strategy (Nov 2011-2013)

1. Thrombocyte/
2. (platelet* or thrombocyte*).ti.
3. 1 or 2
4. Blood Transfusion/
5. transfus*.ti.
6. 4 or 5
7. 3 and 6
8. Thrombocyte Transfusion/
9. Thrombocytopenesis/
10. ((platelet* or thrombocyte*) adj5 (prophyla* or transfus* or infus* or administ* or requir* or need* or product* or component* or concentrate* or apheres* or pooled or single donor or random donor)).tw.
11. (thrombocytepheres* or plateletpheres*).tw.
12. ((platelet* or thrombocyte*) adj5 (protocol* or trigger* or threshold* or schedul* or dose* or dosing or usage or utilisation)).tw.
13. or/7-12
14. Hematologic Malignancy/
15. Lymphoma/
16. NonHodgkin Lymphoma/
17. Hodgkin Disease/
18. exp Myeloproliferative Disorder/
19. exp Aplastic Anemia/
20. exp Thrombocytopenia/
21. (thrombocytopeni* or thrombocytopaeni* or leukemia or leukaemia or lymphoma* or aplastic anemia or aplastic anaemia or myelodysplas* or myeloproliferat* or multiple myeloma or plasma cell myeloma or thrombocythemi* or thrombocythaemi* or polycythemi* or polycythaeemi* or myelofibros* or AML or CLL or CML or Hodgkin*).tw.
22. ((haematolog* or hematolog* or blood or red cell* or white cell* or lymph* or marrow or platelet*) adj3 (malignan* or oncolog* or cancer* or neoplasm*)).tw.
23. exp Chemotherapy/
24. exp Stem Cell Transplantation/
25. exp Bone Marrow Transplantation/
26. exp Radiotherapy/
27. (chemotherap* or radiotherap* or chemoradiotherap* or chemo-radiotherap* or stem cell* or bone marrow transplant* or rituximab).tw.
28. ((haematolog* or hematolog*) adj2 patients).tw.
29. (malignan* or oncolog* or cancer*).ti.
30. or/14-29
31. 13 and 30

Appendix 5. CINAHL (EBSCOhost) search strategy (Nov 2011-2013)

S1 (MH “Blood Platelets”)  
S2 TI (platelet* or thrombocyte*)  
S3 S1 OR S2  
S4 (MH “BLOOD TRANSFUSION”)  
S5 TI transfus*  
S6 S4 or S5  
S7 S3 and S6  
S8 (MH “PLATELET TRANSFUSION”)  
S9 (MH PLATELETPHERESIS)  
S10 ((platelet* or thrombocyte*) N5 (prophyla* or transfus* or infus* or administ* or requir* or need* or product* or component* or concentrate* or apheres* or pooled or single donor or random donor))  
S11 (thrombocytopeni* or thrombocytopaeni* or leukemia or leukaemia or lymphoma* or aplastic anemia or aplastic anaemia or myelodysplas* or myeloproliferat* or multiple myeloma or plasma cell myeloma or thrombocythemi* or thrombocythaemi* or polycythemi* or polycythaeemi* or myelofibros* or AML or CLL or CML or Hodgkin*)  
S12 ((platelet* or thrombocyte*) N5 (protocol* or trigger* or threshold* or schedul* or dose* or dosing or usage or utilization))  
S13 S8 OR S9 OR S10 OR S11 OR S12  
S14 (MH “Hematologic Neoplasms”)  
S15 (MH Leukemia+)  
S16 (MH Lymphoma+)  
S17 (MH “Multiple Myeloma”)  
S18 (MH “Anemia, Aplastic”)  
S19 (MH “Bone Marrow Diseases”)  
S20 (MH “Thrombocytopenia”)  
S21 (thrombocytopeni* or thrombocytopaeni* or leukemia or leukaemia or lymphoma* or aplastic anemia or aplastic anaemia or myelodysplas* or myeloproliferat* or multiple myeloma or plasma cell myeloma or thrombocythemi* or thrombocythaemi* or polycythemi* or polycythaeemi* or myelofibros* or AML or CLL or CML or Hodgkin*)  
S22 ((haematolog* or hematolog* or blood or red cell* or white cell* or lymph* or marrow or platelet*) N3 (malignan* or oncolog* or cancer* or neoplasm*)))  
S23 (MH “Antineoplastic Agents”)  
S24 (MH “Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation”)  
S25 (MH “Bone Marrow Transplantation”)  
S26 (MH Radiotherapy+)  
S27 (chemotherap* or radiotherap* or chemoradiotherap* or chemo-radiotherap* or stem cell* or bone marrow transplant*)  
S28 ((haematolog* or hematolog* or haematopoietic* or hematopoietic*) N2 patients)  
S29 TI (malignan* or oncolog* or cancer*)
Appendix 6. TRANSFUSION EVIDENCE LIBRARY search strategy (2013)

#1 ((platelet* OR thrombocyte*) AND (prophyla* OR transfus* OR infus* OR administ* OR requir* OR need* OR product OR products OR component* OR concentrate* OR apheres* OR pooled OR single donor OR random donor OR protocol* OR trigger* OR threshold* OR schedule* OR dose OR doses OR dosing OR usage OR utilisation OR utilization))
#2 thrombocytophereses* OR plateletpheres*
#3 #1 OR #2
#4 (thrombocyt* OR leukemia* OR lymphoma* OR aplastic anemia OR aplastic anaemia OR myelodysplas* OR myeloproliferat* OR multiple myeloma OR plasma cell myeloma OR thrombocythemia* OR thrombocythaemia* OR polycythemia* OR polycythaemia* OR myelofibros* OR Hodgkin*)
#5 ((haematolog* OR hematolog* OR blood OR red cell* OR white cell* OR lymphom* OR marrow OR platelet*) AND (malignan* OR oncolog* OR cancer OR cancers OR neoplasm*))
#6 #4 OR #5
#7 #3 AND #6

Appendix 7. Web of Science (CPCI-S) search strategy (2013)

((platelet* AND (prophyla* OR transfus* OR products OR component* OR concentrate* OR apheres* OR pooled OR single donor OR random donor OR protocol* OR trigger* OR threshold*)) AND (thrombocyt* OR leukemia* OR lymphoma* OR aplastic OR myelodysplas* OR myeloproliferat* OR myeloma OR thrombocythemia* OR thrombocythaemia* OR polycythemia* OR polycythaemia* OR myelofibros* OR Hodgkin* OR haematologica* OR hematological)) [in Title] AND (randomized OR randomised OR randomly) [in Title]

Appendix 8. LILACS search strategy (2013)

((platelet* AND (prophyla* OR transfus* OR products OR component* OR concentrate* OR apheres* OR pooled OR single donor OR random donor OR protocol* OR trigger* OR threshold*)) AND (thrombocyt* OR leukemia* OR lymphoma* OR aplastic OR myelodysplas* OR myeloproliferat* OR myeloma OR thrombocythemia* OR thrombocythaemia* OR polycythemia* OR polycythaemia* OR myelofibros* OR Hodgkin* OR haematologica* OR hematological)) AND db:("LILACS") AND type_of_study:("clinical_trials" OR "systematic_reviews")

Appendix 9. INDMED search strategy (2013)

(platelet OR platelets OR thrombocyte$ OR thrombocytophereses$ OR plateletpheres$) AND (thrombocyt$ OR leukemia$ OR lymphoma$ OR aplastic OR myelodysplas$ OR myeloproliferat$ OR myeloma OR thrombocythemia$ OR thrombocythaemia$ OR polycyth$ OR myelofibros$ OR Hodgkin$ OR haematologica* OR hematological OR hematopoietic OR haematopoietic) AND (random$ OR blind$ OR trial$ OR control$)
platelet*[ALL] AND "Randomized Controlled Trial" [PT]
thrombocy*[ALL] AND "Randomized Controlled Trial" [PT]

Search Terms/Title: randomized OR randomised
Conditions: hematological neoplasm OR hematological malignancies OR leukemia OR lymphoma OR thrombocytopenia OR multiple myeloma OR aplastic anemia OR thrombocythemia OR polycythemia OR myelofibrosis OR hodgkins disease
Intervention: platelets OR platelet transfusion

Appendix 12. ISRCTN & EU Clinical Trials Register search strategy (2013)
(hematological OR haematological OR leukemi* OR leukaemi* OR lymphoma OR thrombocytopeni* OR myeloma OR aplastic OR thrombocythemia OR polycythemia OR myelofibrosis OR hodgkin*) AND platelet* transfus* AND random*

Appendix 13. Hong Kong Clinical Trials Register search strategy (2013)
Disease Group: Blood and blood-forming organs
Title: randomized OR randomised

Appendix 14. Previous searches: original (Jan 2002) & update (Nov 2011) search strategies
CENTRAL search strategy (Issue 4, 2011)
#1 MeSH descriptor Blood Platelets explode all trees
#2 platelet* or thrombocyte*
#3 (#1 OR #2)
#4 MeSH descriptor Blood Transfusion explode all trees
#5 transfus*
#6 (#4 OR #5)
#7 (#3 AND #6)
#8 MeSH descriptor Platelet Transfusion explode all trees
#9 (platelet* or thrombocyte*) NEAR/5 (transfus* or infus* or administ* or requir*)
#10 (#7 OR #8 OR #9)
#11 prophylactic* or prophylax* or prevent*
#12 (#10 AND #11)

MEDLINE (Ovid) search strategy (Jan 2002 - Nov 2011)
1. BLOOD PLATELETS/
2. (platelet* or thrombocyte*).tw.
3. 1 or 2
4. exp BLOOD TRANSFUSION/
5. transfus*.tw.
6. 4 or 5
7. 3 and 6
8. PLATELET TRANSFUSION/
9. ((platelet* or thrombocyte*) adj5 (transfus* or infus* or administ* or requir*)).tw.
10. or/7-9
11. (prophylactic* or prophylax* or prevent*).tw.
12. 10 and 11

Embase (Ovid) search strategy (Jan 2002 - Nov 2011)

A therapeutic-only versus prophylactic platelet transfusion strategy for preventing bleeding in patients with haematological disorders after chemotherapy or stem cell transplantation (Protocol)
Copyright © 2014 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
1. THROMBOCYTE/
2. (platelet* or thrombocyte*).tw.
3. 1 or 2
4. exp BLOOD TRANSFUSION/
5. transfus*.tw.
6. 4 or 5
7. 3 and 6
8. THROMBOCYTE TRANSFUSION/
9. ((platelet* or thrombocyte*) adj5 (transfus* or infus* or administ* or requir*)).tw.
10. or/7-9
11. (prophylactic* or prophylax* or prevent*).tw.
12. 10 and 11

CINAHL (NHS Evidence) search strategy (Jan 2002 - Nov 2011)
1. BLOOD PLATELETS/
2. (platelet* or thrombocyte*).ti,ab
3. 1 or 2
4. exp BLOOD TRANSFUSION/
5. transfus*.ti,ab
6. 4 or 5
7. 3 and 6
8. PLATELET TRANSFUSION/
9. ((platelet* adj5 transfus*) or (platelet* adj5 infus*) or (platelet* adj5 administ*) or (platelet* adj5 requir*)).ti,ab
10. ((thrombocyte* adj5 transfus*) or (thrombocyte* adj5 infus*) or (thrombocyte* adj5 administ*) or (thrombocyte* adj5 requir*)).ti,ab
11. 7 or 8 or 9 or 10
12. (prophylactic* or prophylax* or prevent*).ti,ab
13. 11 and 12

Free text search strategy for other databases (Nov 2011)
(platelet* OR thrombocyte*) AND (transfus* OR infus* OR administ* OR requir*) AND (prophylactic* OR prophylaxis OR prevent OR prevention OR preventing)

MEDLINE & Embase search strategy (Jan 2002)
1. Platelet Transfusion.mh.
2. platelet$.adj10 (substitute$ or transfusion$ or prophyla$).tw.
3. 1 or 2
4. haemorrhage.mh.
5. platelet$.tw.
6. 4 and 5
7. exp Blood Transfusion/
8. 5 and 7
9. 3 or 6 or 8
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The previous review *Estcourt 2012a* has now been split into four separate reviews.