A Microtubule Interactome: Complexes with Roles in Cell Cycle and Mitosis
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The microtubule (MT) cytoskeleton is required for many aspects of cell function, including the transport of intracellular materials, the maintenance of cell polarity, and the regulation of mitosis. These functions are coordinated by MT-associated proteins (MAPs), which work in concert with each other, binding MTs and altering their properties. We have used a MT cosedimentation assay, combined with 1D and 2D PAGE and mass spectrometry, to identify over 250 MAPs from early Drosophila embryos. We have taken two complementary approaches to analyse the cellular function of novel MAPs isolated using this approach. First, we have carried out an RNA interference (RNAi) screen, identifying 21 previously uncharacterised genes involved in MT organisation. Second, we have undertaken a biinformatics analysis based on binary protein interaction data to produce putative interaction networks of MAPs. By combining both approaches, we have identified and validated MAP complexes with potentially important roles in cell cycle regulation and mitosis. This study therefore demonstrates that biologically relevant data can be harvested using such a multidisciplinary approach, and identifies new MAPs, many of which appear to be important in cell division.

Introduction

The ability of a cell to grow, divide, and respond to environmental or developmental cues is orchestrated on many levels. In the postgenomic era, it is recognised that one such key organisational step lies in the formation and regulation of multiprotein complexes. The capacity of one protein to bind to and modify the function of another, through such diverse mechanisms as covalent modification, steric hindrance, protein bridging, or restriction of subcellular location, provides a level of control that individual proteins cannot achieve alone [1]. Recent high-throughput postgenomic technologies have opened the way to identify proteins and their interacting partners. Of these techniques, three complementary approaches are most widely used: yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) analyses, which allow the identification of potential binary protein interactions [2,3]; cellular proteomic purification techniques, coupled with mass spectrometry, which can be used to isolate intact protein complexes [4,5]; and RNA interference (RNAi) analysis, which provides functional information on individual proteins and their known interactors [6,7].

The organisation of the microtubule (MT) cytoskeleton by MT-associated proteins (MAPs) provides one example of how protein–protein interactions regulate function. MTs play diverse and important roles in many cellular processes. These dynamic protein polymers form from dimers of two related proteins, α- and β-tubulin, that exist in a soluble, cytosolic pool and that incorporate into existing MTs with predetermined polarity [8]. In most animal cells, MTs are nucleated from and anchored at an organising centre, the centrosome, which resides juxtaposed to the nuclear envelope. The intrinsic polarity of the MT can therefore be translated into subcellular positional information, allowing the efficient transport of materials from one location to another [9,10]. In addition, the ability of MTs to grow and shrink dynamically provides a single cell with the capacity to form multiple populations of MTs possessing different functional properties at a single point in time [11,12]. This elaborate temporal and spatial organisation of MT function within the cell is regulated, in a large part, by the proteins that interact with MTs, so-called MAPs. Although the term was originally applied to structural proteins isolated from axons, which bind to and stabilise MTs [13], MAPs can equally describe any protein that associates with MTs in vitro or in vivo, including MT motors and their cargoes [14], proteins that bind MT ends [15], or those that associate with MTs in a cell cycle–dependent manner [16,17].
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Author Summary

The microtubule (MT) cytoskeleton is crucial for many aspects of cell function, including cell division. These functions are coordinated by MT-associated proteins (MAPs), which work in concert with each other, binding MTs and altering their properties. Although some MAPs have been identified, it is likely that many more exist. We have used the Drosophila embryo to identify novel MAPs. Our proteomic analysis identified over 250 proteins with the ability to bind MTs, approximately 100 of which had not previously been studied. We used RNA interference (RNAi) to deplete each of these novel proteins and found 21 new MAPs that regulate MT organisation. We also used a bioinformatic-based approach to identify putative MAP complexes. Finally, we focussed on two proteins, which we predicted to interact together with MTs during mitosis. We showed that these proteins do form a complex in embryos, colocalise to the MT organising centre (the centrosome) in cells, and function to regulate the number of centrosomes in a cell. This study therefore demonstrates the validity of using a multidisciplinary approach, and identifies new MAPs, many of which appear to be important in cell division.

Many MAPs elicit their effects as part of multiprotein complexes [18–21]. In addition, due to the ability of many MAPs to directly or indirectly affect MT dynamics during cell division, proteins that associate with MTs have been widely explored as potential anticancer targets [22]. We have taken a combinatorial approach to identify MAP complexes with roles in cell cycle regulation and mitosis, from the fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster. Drosophila embryos are an ideal tissue from which to isolate MTs and associated MAPs of this nature [23–26]. These syncytial embryos contain sufficient quantities of individual proteins to undergo multiple mitoses prior to zygotic transcription [27]. In addition, large quantities can be obtained with relative ease, and extracts made from this tissue possess high levels of mitotic kinase activity [28].

In this study, we initially combined a MAP purification technique based on MT cosedimentation [16] with mass spectrometry (MS). Our analysis identified 270 MAPs from early Drosophila embryos, 83 of which are encoded by previously uncharacterised genes. By subsequently combining a secondary RNAi screen with a bioinformatics analysis based primarily on Y2H data, we have identified a MAP complex containing the Skp1 homolog, SkpA, and a novel protein we term SkAP. We confirm that SkpA and SkAP associate with each other in Drosophila embryos, localise to centrosomes, and show that both proteins regulate centrosome duplication in vivo. Our approach is therefore able to identify novel MAP complexes with important roles in mitosis and cell cycle regulation.

Results and Discussion

Over 250 Proteins Associate with MTs in Early Drosophila Embryos

In order to identify MAPs from early Drosophila embryos, we made use of a well-characterised and previously described MT cosedimentation assay [16,25]. In this assay, a cytoplasmic extract of 0–4-h-old embryos is incubated at 25 °C in the presence of GTP to promote the polymerisation of endogenous tubulin. Subsequent addition of Taxol drives further MT polymerisation by lowering the critical concentration of MT growth, and irreversibly stabilises the MTs formed. By centrifuging this MT-enriched extract through a dense cushion of sucrose at 4 °C, MTs and MAPs pellet together, while other proteins remain in the supernatant (Figure 1A). The MT-MAP pellet is then solubilised and analysed by 2D or 1D gel electrophoresis (Figure 1B and 1C).

The 2D gradient gel analysis of the MT-MAP pellet identified approximately 880 features (Figure 1B). To ensure that the features present in the MT-MAP pellet were consistent between experiments, we repeated the cosedimentation assay on three separate occasions. In addition, we undertook a control experiment in which Taxol was excluded from the extract. Any MTs initially formed in this control extract depolymerise prior to centrifugation. Therefore, proteins present in the control pellet constitute contaminants. Such a control pellet contained approximately 200-fold less protein than the MT-MAP pellet, and identified only approximately 80 features (Materials and Methods; Figure S1A). Using customised image analysis software to compare individual experiments (Materials and Methods), and to exclude features present on the control gel, we identified 592 common MT-MAP features. As a complementary approach, we also analysed the MT-MAP pellet using 1D PAGE (Figure 1C). Although 2D PAGE provides much greater resolution of lower molecular weight proteins than 1D PAGE, it is not suitable for resolving high molecular weight proteins. In agreement with previous studies, we found many distinct MAP bands ranging in size from 25 kDa to greater than 250 kDa (Figure 1C). No features were visible by 1D gel electrophoresis when similar proportions of the control pellet were analysed (Figure S1B).

To determine the identities of the proteins cosedimenting with MTs from early embryo extracts, we used MS. Given the high number of features identified using 2D PAGE, we chose to analyse a total of 300 features on the basis of spot intensity. To minimise the likelihood of identifying posttranslationally modified forms of the same protein, we avoided cutting more than one spot from a cluster in the same region of the gel; one phosphorylation usually induces a pI shift of 0.2 units of pH towards the acidic end of the gel with almost no detectable change of molecular weight (e.g., red box, Figure 1B). In addition, we avoided the large tubulin features present at approximately 50 kDa (arrow, Figure 1B). In the 1D gel experiment, the lane was excised and cut into 31 blocks. Following reduction, alkylation, trypsin digestion, and peptide extraction of spots or blocks, the 2D and 1D protein mixtures were analysed using liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MSMS). Raw spectra were processed, and the peak lists searched in-house using Mascot Daemon 2.1. MS analysis of the 2D PAGE MT-MAP pellet identified 239 proteins, whereas 113 proteins were identified from the 1D PAGE gel blocks. Searching against a randomised database, we estimate the false-positive rate for the combined 2D gel spots and 1D gel LC-MSMS experiment to be 2.4%. By combining the two approaches, and after removal of redundant and low-scoring hits, we identified 270 potential MAPs from early Drosophila embryos (Table S1).

To initially validate the results of the cosedimentation assay and the MS, we chose ten MAPs for which antibodies were readily available and confirmed their ability to cosediment with MTs using Western blotting (Figure 1D). Additionally, we analysed their localisation in Drosophila S2 cells (Figure 1E).
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Whereas some of these were proteins already known to bind or localise to MT populations (e.g., D-TACC [25] and Klp10A [29]), others had not previously been shown to associate with MTs (e.g., eIF-4E, Reptin, and G[186]b[191]13F). We were able to confirm that nine of the ten proteins both bound to MTs in vitro and localised to MT structures in vivo (Figure 1D and 1E). In addition, although antibodies against the remaining protein, Patj, failed to recognise any specific bands on Western blots, they recognised central spindle and midbody MTs in S2 cells (Figure 1E). Therefore, we can be confident that our proteomic approach is able to identify previously unidentified MAPs.

Proteins That Associate with MTs Have Diverse Cellular Functions

Although our approach did not detect some proteins previously shown to bind MTs in the early Drosophila embryo (e.g., CP60, Asp, and DmINCENP [30–32]), it identified many more proteins with the ability to bind MTs than anticipated [16]. To classify the 270 proteins on a functional basis, we first characterised the MAPs according to the Gene Ontology (GO) database [33] supported by additional manual data mining of previously published work (Figure 2; Table S2).

A total of 61 MAPs (22%) grouped into GOs that would be expected for MT-based functions, such as those involved in mitosis, cytoskeletal binding, vesicle-mediated transport, cell polarity, and MT motors (Figure 2; Table S2). Interestingly, although 126 MAPs (47%) do not have a primary ontology suggesting MT binding, there is evidence that many of these do, in fact, function in association with MTs. For example, four proteins classed within the “Translation” GO, eIF4E, Cup, Mat31B, and Aubergine have all been shown to be involved in the regulation of Oskar ribonucleoprotein (RNP) translation [34]. Components of this complex, which is essential for posterior patterning in the Drosophila oocyte, are localised in a MT-dependent manner, so it is not perhaps surprising that they associate with MTs in the early embryo.

Some proteins, classed with “Other” functions, have also been shown to have roles in MT organisation during mitosis, although this is not described as their primary ontology (Table S2). For example, Calmodulin has recently been shown to directly bind the MAP Asp during mitosis, and RNAi against Calmodulin leads to defects in spindle morphology [35].

We also identified a number of MAPs for which there are currently no data suggesting an association with MTs in Drosophila, but that possess homologs that have been shown to localise to MT populations. For example, we identified many

Figure 2. Functional Classification of 270 Drosophila Embryonic MAPs

A pie chart showing the classification of identified MAPs according to Gene Ontologies, assisted by manual data mining of references in Flybase. A total of 31% of proteins were completely uncharacterised according to these sources. The remaining proteins were grouped by primary GO function and were then encompassed into four main categories: MT related (i), DNA/RNA associated (ii), protein folding/degradation (iii), and other (iv).
RNAi was carried out in *Drosophila* S2 cells against 83 previously uncharacterised genes, identified as MAPs using proteomics. MAPs showing significant defects in MT organisation during mitosis or interphase when treated with dsRNA are shown, and their phenotypes described. MAPs showing phenotypes are classified as strong hits (\( p < 0.01 \)) or hits (\( p < 0.05 \)). Full information on scoring of individual cell samples can be found in Table S4. \( Y \) indicates a MAP also identified as a hit in Goshima screen [35]; \( N \) indicates not identified as a hit in Goshima screen [35].

**Table 1. Table of Previously Uncharacterised MAPs Showing RNAi Phenotypes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Significance of RNAi Phenotype</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Effect on Mitotic MTs</th>
<th>Effect on Interphase MTs</th>
<th>Identified in Goshima Screen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strong hits (( p &lt; 0.01 ))</strong></td>
<td>CG7033</td>
<td>Small monopolar, low MT density (Figure 3C)</td>
<td>Few MT (Figure 3D)</td>
<td>Y (TCP subunit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG8231</td>
<td>Small monopolar, low MT density (Figure 3C)</td>
<td>Few MT (Figure 3D)</td>
<td>Y (TCP subunit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG8258</td>
<td>Small monopolar, low MT density (Figure 3C)</td>
<td>Few MT (Figure 3D)</td>
<td>Y (TCP subunit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG8351</td>
<td>Small monopolar, low MT density (Figure 3C)</td>
<td>Few MT (Figure 3D)</td>
<td>Y (TCP subunit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG5525</td>
<td>Small monopolar, low MT density (Figure 3C)</td>
<td>Few MT (Figure 3D)</td>
<td>Y (TCP subunit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG13914 (msd1)</td>
<td>Low spindle density, misaligned chr, monopolar (Figure 3G)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG8828 (msd2)</td>
<td>Low spindle density, misaligned chr, monopolar (Figure 3G)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Y (Dgt5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG4865 (msd3)</td>
<td>Low spindle density, misaligned chr, monopolar (Figure 3G)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Y (Dgt4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG11881 (msd4)</td>
<td>Low spindle density, misaligned chr, monopolar (Figure 3G)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Y (Dgt6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG2213 (msd5)</td>
<td>Low spindle density, misaligned chr, monopolar (Figure 3G)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG13879</td>
<td>Monopolar (Figure 3E)</td>
<td>Compact (Figure 3F)</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG16969</td>
<td>Monopolar (Figure 3E)</td>
<td>Compact (Figure 3F)</td>
<td>Y (Dgt2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG3221</td>
<td>Monopolar</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Y (Dgt3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG3731</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Curved (Figure 3H)</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG11700</td>
<td>Normal/low MI</td>
<td>Straight (Figure 3I)</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hits (( p &lt; 0.05 ))</strong></td>
<td>CG11963 (SkAP)</td>
<td>Multipolar</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG8036</td>
<td>Tripolar</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG12018</td>
<td>Monoaster</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG10932</td>
<td>Monoaster</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG1842</td>
<td>Misaligned chr</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CG11876</td>
<td>Misaligned chr</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

components of the nuclear pore complex. Of these, Ran was placed within the ontology “Mitosis/Cell Cycle” due to its clear role in chromatin-mediated MT nucleation [36]. However, other subunits, such as Pendulin (Importin \( \alpha \)), Karyopherin \( \beta \), fs(2) Ketel (Importin \( \beta \)), Nup358 (RanBP2), and Nup 214/CAN were placed within the “Nuclear Envelope” category. Nonetheless, homologs of these proteins have been shown to be components of the human mitotic spindle and to be involved in MT organisation and kinetochore function during mitosis [37–39]. Together with the localisation and biochemical data provided in Figure 1D and 1E, this analysis suggests that many other characterised proteins, which do not as yet possess ontologies linked to MTs, may also bind MTs in vivo. Importantly, it also demonstrates that our biochemical isolation of MAPs can preserve interactions between subunits of known protein complexes.

**RNAi Analysis of Novel MAPs**

A total of 31% of MAPs identified in our cosedimentation assay were previously uncharacterised proteins. To investigate their cellular function, we used RNAi to deplete each of them from *Drosophila* S2 cells and examined MT organisation both in mitosis and interphase (Tables S3 and S4). Spindle abnormalities in mitotic cells were classified into one of the following categories: monopolar, bipolar with no asters, bipolar with one aster, tripolar, multipolar, or others; whereas interphase MT organisation was categorised into four classes: extended (normal), bundled, compact, and curved (Table S4). In total, from the 83 genes tested, 21 reproducibly gave a statistically significant difference from their control when treated with double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) (\( p < 0.05 \); Table 1, hits, and Table S4), 15 of which were scored with very high confidence (\( p < 0.01 \); Table 1, strong hits, and Table S4).

Among the strong hits, five genes (CG7033, CG8231, CG8258, CG8351, and CG5525) showed monopolar spindles with reduced MT density in addition to generally low numbers of MTs in interphase cells, in relation to wild-type cells (Figure 3A–3D; unpublished data). Homology searches indicated that these genes encode proteins with a high similarity to the five subunits of TCP, a chaperonin complex for tubulins and actin [40] (unpublished data). The identification of all the *Drosophila* proteins with a high similarity to TCP subunits not only demonstrates the reliability of our RNAi analysis, but also provides further evidence to suggest that cosedimentation of one component of a complex correlates with cosedimentation of additional subunits.

RNAi against three other genes (CG13879, CG16969, and CG3221) showed monopolar spindles without apparent reduction of MT density (Figure 3E; unpublished data). In addition, although cells treated with dsRNA against CG3221 showed no defect in interphase MT organisation (unpublished data), MT organisation in interphase was abnormal in both CG13879 and CG16969 dsRNA-treated cells (Figure 3F; unpublished data). In these cells, MTs stayed compacted around the nucleus, in contrast to control cells in which plus ends of interphase MTs extend to the cell periphery. A further five strong hits (CG13914, CG4865, CG8828, CG11881, and CG2213) led to large bipolar spindles with reduced MT density, misaligned chromosomes, and an increase in monopolar spindles (Figure 3G; unpublished data). Interestingly, neither astral nor interphase MT organisation was affected. We have termed these five genes msd1–5 (mitotic spindle density 1–5).

Finally, two other genes (CG3731 and CG11700) showed altered

---

doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060098.t001
organism of interphase MTs without significant abnormalities of the mitotic spindle (Figure 3H and 3I).

Very recently, a Drosophila genome-wide RNAi screen in which S2 cells were examined for defects in mitotic spindle morphology has been reported [35]. Although methodology and scoring of hits differed between that screen and ours, we found significant overlap between the studies. Of the 83 uncharacterised MAPs identified here, the Goshima screen positively identified ten with RNAi phenotypes, all of which we independently recorded as strong hits (Tables 1 and S4).

These include the five subunits of the TCP complex, three of our msd genes (CG8828/msd2, CG14865/msd3, and CG11881/msd4, described in the Goshima screen as Dgt5, Dgt4, and Dgt6, respectively), CG16969 (Dgt2), and CG3221 (Dgt3). Additionally, we identified another five strong hits, three of which had mitotic phenotypes (CG13914/msd1, CG2213/msd5, and CG13879), and two which appear to affect only interphase MTs (CG3731 and CG11700). We undertook RNAi against 83 genes, and identified 19 that possess defects in mitotic spindle organisation, 13 of which we classify as strong hits (corresponding to a 16% hit rate). In contrast, the Goshima screen undertook RNAi against 14,425 genes and identified 205 genes (corresponding to a 1.4% hit rate). Thus, it is clear that our targeted RNAi screen, based on the ability of proteins to physically associate with MTs, substantially increases the proportion of genes identified as having roles in spindle organisation, in comparison to a genome-wide RNAi screen.

Bioinformatics Analysis of Binary MAP Interactions

Although our RNAi analysis identified additional individual proteins involved in mitotic MT organisation, it did not provide information regarding how these proteins work in concert with others to elicit their cellular functions. One of the most powerful technologies used for mapping binary protein interactions is the Y2H system, and screens using this technique have been carried out in many organisms, including yeast, Drosophila, and humans [2,41,42]. We took advantage of the GRID database [43] which, when supplemented with the small number of functional interactions stored in Flybase, gives an approximate Drosophila interactome of 24,700 interactions. Computer algorithms were constructed to identify binary interactions within the MAP dataset.

Of our 270 MAPs, in-house computer algorithms identified interaction data for 216. An analysis of the binary protein interactions between these proteins identified 66 MAPs, contributing to 92 interactions (counting both “A–B” and “B–A”) within 21 putative complexes (Figure 4A). As all of these proteins were originally isolated on the basis of their association with MTs, we would expect binary interactions to occur between the MAPs with a higher frequency than between a set of randomly selected proteins. To test this hypothesis, computer algorithms were devised to randomly select a set of 216 Drosophila proteins obtained from Flybase, and count the pairwise interactions of that set. This analysis was repeated 1,000 times to obtain a distribution of interactions (Figure 4B). On average, a random set of 216 proteins showed 42.7 binary interactions (standard deviation [s.d.] ± 13.1). The 92 interactions within our MAP dataset falls 3.8 standard deviations away from this mean. We therefore conclude that the MAPs isolated in this study do indeed interact with one another more often than a set of random, unrelated proteins (p < 0.002), suggesting that many of the interactions described using Y2H approaches represent valid, functional interactions.

To investigate the existence of MAP complexes that function in cell cycle regulation or mitosis in vivo, we combined the information regarding protein function obtained from the GOs of previously characterised proteins and that obtained from our targeted RNAi screen, with the binary MAP interactions highlighted by the bioinformatics analysis above. The combined data are represented as a bar

Figure 3. RNAi Phenotypes Associated with Previously Uncharacterised MAPs

S2 cells were fixed with cold methanol and immunostained for α-tubulin (green), phospho-Histone H3 (unpublished data), and DNA (red), 5 d after being treated with dsRNA corresponding to each gene. A representative image of the phenotype observed in each class of gene identified in Table 1 is shown. (A, C, E, and G) mitotic cells. (B, D, F, H, and I) interphase cells. Dotted lines represent outlines of interphase cells defined by phase contrast observation. Scale bar indicates 10 μm.
doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060098.g003
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Many of the putative complexes obtained from the bioinformatics analysis included individual proteins shown to have a mitotic/cell cycle–related function (blue), or proteins encoded by previously uncharacterised genes that showed defects in MT organisation in our RNAi analysis (dark red). However, one putative complex included both: three proteins with known mitotic/cell cycle function, two of which directly interacted with a protein encoded by a gene that gave a significant defect in our RNAi analysis (Figure 4A and 4C; asterisk). We therefore decided to validate our approach by concentrating on the protein encoded by this previously uncharacterised gene, \textit{CG11963}, and its interacting partners.

**Verification of a MAP Complex with a Role in Regulating Centrosome Number**

\textit{CG11963} encodes a predicted 55-kDa protein, with homology to a human mitochondrial protein, Succinate-CoA ligase (Figure S2) [44]. However, depletion of \textit{CG11963} in our RNAi analysis consistently led to a statistically significant effect on mitotic spindle organisation during mitosis in S2 cells (Tables 1 and S4). In addition, our analysis of the extant interaction data placed the MAP encoded by \textit{CG11963} as able to directly interact with SkpA and Cdc2c (CDK2) (Figure 4A).

To validate the existence of this putative complex in vivo, we produced and affinity purified antibodies against all three proteins. Although antibodies against CDK2 failed to recognise specific bands on Western blots, antibodies against SkpA and \textit{CG11963} each recognised bands of the predicted Mr in embryo extracts and S2 cells (Figure S3A and S3B). We therefore refer to the gene product of \textit{CG11963} as \textit{SkAP} (SkpA Associated Protein). To confirm that \textit{SkpA} and \textit{SkAP} are bone fide MAPs, we performed a MT cosedimentation assay using 0–4-h \textit{Drosophila} embryo extracts, and probed the samples by Western blotting. As expected, a fraction of both \textit{SkpA} and \textit{SkAP} were present in the Taxol-stabilised MT pellet (Figure 5A). Next, we immunoprecipitated \textit{SkpA} from 0–4-h embryo extracts and looked for coprecipitation of \textit{SkAP}. Immunodepletion of \textit{SkpA} from extracts led to coprecipitation of approximately half the endogenous \textit{SkAP}, while having no effect on control proteins present in the extract (Figures 5B and S3C).

Previous studies on the vertebrate homolog of \textit{SkpA}, \textit{Skp1}, have shown the protein to localise to the centrosome and the midbody [45–47]. In agreement, our affinity-purified anti-\textit{SkpA} antibodies recognised centrosomes and central spindle MTs in both male meiotic cells and larval neuroblasts (Figures 5C and S3D). In addition, \textit{SkpA} was present on the nuclear envelope prior to meiosis (Figure 5C). Similarly, anti-\textit{SkAP} antibodies recognised centrosomes in these tissues (Figures 5C and S3E), as well as staining mitochondria, which line up along the length of the central spindle during meiotic anaphase and telophase [48] (arrow, Figure 5C). To further

---

Figure 4. Pairwise Interactions of Identified MAPs

(A) A total of 66 of the 270 MAPS show direct pairwise interactions with another MAP, gathered from GRID and Flybase; displayed here as an interaction network. Proteins are indicated by circular nodes and interactions by lines, or edges.

(B) The distribution of interactions within randomly selected sets of proteins. The average number of interactions is 42.71 (s.d. ± 13.09; 1,000 repeats). The MAP set shows 92 interactions, 3.77 standard deviations away from the mean of the distribution.

(C) A bar chart representing the size and compositions of complexes in (A). Any complex containing either characterised mitotic/cell cycle proteins, or uncharacterised proteins showing an RNAi phenotype are represented here. The largest putative complex (indicated by an asterisk [*]) contains both classes of proteins.

doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060098.g004
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**MAP Interactome**
confirm the localisation of SkAP, we expressed a GFP-SkAP fusion protein in S2 cells, and found it to accumulate at centrosomes (Figure 5D). Thus, both SkpA and SkAP colocalise at centrosomes in vivo.

Finally, we investigated whether SkpA and SkAP fulfil similar functions in cells. Our initial RNAi analysis had shown that reduction of skap/CG11963 led to a significant defect in spindle morphology (Tables 1 and S4). In addition, perturbation of Skp1/SkpA function has previously been shown to result in a mitotic phenotype (that of supernumerary centrosomes) in a variety of organisms, including *Drosophila* [46,49,50]. We therefore compared the phenotypes of S2 cells in which either skpA or skap/CG11963 had been knocked down, staining cells to visualise MTs, DNA, and centrosomes (Figure 5E and 5F). We found that cells treated with dsRNA to either skap/CG11963 or skpA had a clear supernumerary centrosome phenotype (45% of skap dsRNA-treated cells and 66% of skpA dsRNA-treated cells contained more than two centrosomes, in comparison to 27% in control-treated cells). Interestingly, the proportion of binucleated cells was similar between control, skap dsRNA-treated, and skpA dsRNA-treated cells, suggesting the supernumerary centrosomes arise through an increase in centrosome duplication, rather than failures in cytokinesis (Figure 5F; Table S4). Taken together, our results show that *Drosophila* SkpA and a previously uncharacterised protein SkAP, are centrosomal MAPs that associate in vivo and have a role in regulating the number of centrosomes in cells.

Conclusions

We began this study by undertaking a biochemical purification and proteomic analysis in order to identify proteins that associate with the MT cytoskeleton. Our initial purification of MAPs from *Drosophila* embryo extracts identified many more proteins with the ability to bind MTs than anticipated and provided a wealth of possible routes of further study. The subsequent RNAi screen, and the investigation of binary protein interaction data were used as functional sieves, focussing our studies on putative MAP complexes with roles in cell cycle regulation and cell division. The biochemical and cytological data relating to SkpA and SkAP validate combining these various approaches; these proteins do, indeed, form a complex in embryos, and their similar localisations and phenotypes upon RNAi treatment strongly suggest that they function together in the cell.

In the postgenomic era, assigning function to the many thousands of uncharacterised genes for which there is little or no experimental data solicits a challenge that no single scientific discipline can meet. However, by combining biochemistry, proteomics, and functional RNAi, with bioinformatics, statistics, and targeted data mining, it is clear that useful biological data can be acquired. We believe that this interdisciplinary-style approach will increasingly contribute to the biological knowledge base, and greatly facilitate the transition from genomic to functional information.

Materials and Methods

**Fly work and embryo collection.** All flies were reared according to standard procedures and maintained at 25 °C. The 0–4-h-old embryos were collected on apple juice/Agar plates from collection chambers containing OrR *Drosophila* stocks. Embryos were dechorionated using bleach, washed in PBS, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80 °C in batches.

**MT cosedimentation assay.** We modified a standard protocol for a *Drosophila* embryonic MT cosedimentation assay, described in [23] and originally adapted from [16]. Briefly, 2 g of frozen embryos were homogenised in 3 ml of buffer (50 mM HEPES [pH 7.4], 50 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA, 0.1% NP-40, protease inhibitors [Sigma]). Extracts were clarified by an initial centrifugation at 15,000 g for 10 min, followed by two consecutive high-speed spins at 100,000 g for 45 min and the second for 15 min. Clarified supernatant was transferred to fresh tubes between each spin. GFP and DTT were each added to the supernatant to a final concentration of 1 mM before
incubation at 25 °C for 5 min. The supernatant was then divided into two equal fractions. One was placed on ice, and the other, Taxol was added to 10 μM (final concentration), before returning to 25 °C for a further 15 min. The supernatants were layered onto a two-volume cushion of C buffer + 40% sucrose, before centrifuging at 100,000 g for 30 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was removed by aspiration, and the top surface of the sucrose cushion was washed twice with C buffer. The remaining sucrose cushion was then removed by aspiration, and the pellet washed once with C buffer before being resuspended in protein sample buffer (5 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 2 mM tributylphosphine, 65 mM DTT, 65 mM CHAPS, 0.15 M NDSB-256, 1 mM sodium vanadate, 0.1 mM sodium fluoride, and 1 mM benzamidine).

1D and 2D PAGE and mass spectrometric analysis. Carrier ampholytes were added to the MT-MAP sample at 0.9% v/v Servalyte 3–10, 0.45% v/v Servalyte 2–4 and 9–11 prior to IEF on 3–10 nonlinear pH gradient gels. The 2D gel electrophoresis, and internal calibration and gel self-intercalation, were done with a Protean II xi (BioRad) system, running 0.1% formic acid at a flow rate of 200 nl/min. Spectra were acquired in positive mode with a cone voltage of 40 V and a capillary voltage of 3,500 V. The MS to MSMS switching was controlled in an automatic data-dependent fashion with a 1-s survey scan followed by three 1-s MS/MS scans of the most intense ions. Precursor ions were selected for MSMS were excluded from further fragmentation for 2 min. Spectra were processed using ProteinLynx Global server 2.1.5 and searched against the SWISS-PROT, MSDB, and NCBI databases using the MASCOT search engine (Matrix science). Searches were restricted to the Drosophila taxonomy, allowing carbamidomethyl cysteine as a fixed modification and oxidised methionine as a potential variable modifications. Data were searched allowing 0.5 Da error on all spectra and up to two missed tryptic cleavage sites to be considered.

Antibody production and purification. Full-length Drosophila skpA and skpA were cloned into the Gateway expression vector pAGW (Invitrogen), and subcloned into pMal-c2x (Invitrogen) using standard procedures. Purified MBP-SkpA and MBP-SkAP were then used to generate polyclonal rabbit antisera by Eurogentec (Seraing). Antibodies were affinity purified from the resultant rabbit antisera using a column of the appropriate His-tagged fusion protein immobilised onto a 1 ml Ni-NTA column (Qiagen). Protein concentrations were determined using a modified version of the Bradford protein assay.

Immunostaining and microscopy. Drosophila S2 cells were adhered on coverslips coated with concanavalin A and fixed with either 4% formaldehyde for 10 min, or with −20 °C methanol for 15 min, prior to processing for immunofluorescence. To visualise SkpA and SKAP localization, fixed embryos, and subcloned into pMal-c2x (Invitrogen) as per manufacturer's instructions. After 48 h, cells were placed on concanavalin A-coated coverslips, fixed with 4% formaldehyde, and stained for DNA and α-tubulin.

The following antibodies were used at 1:500: mouse anti–α-tubulin (DM1A clone; Sigma), rat anti-α-tubulin (Jackson Laboratories), mouse anti-γ-tubulin (Sigma), rabbit anti-D-TACC (a gift from R. Ling), rabbit anti–Pros-35 (a gift from Fumio Matsuzaki), rabbit anti–Klp10A (a gift from David Sharp), rabbit anti-Pnut (to be described above), rabbit anti–Klp10A (a gift from Akira Nakamura), rabbit anti–GP135 (a gift from Fumio Matsuoka), rabbit anti–Pros-35 (a gift from Peter Kloetzel), rabbit anti–Pom1 (a gift from Jacques Prud’homme), rabbit anti–Patj (a gift from Hugo Bellen), guinea pig anti-SkpA (a gift from Bob Duronio), anti–phospho-Histone H3 Ser 10 (Upstate Biotech), and rabbit anti-SkAP and rabbit anti-SKAP (described above). Appropriate Alexa 488, Cy3, and Cy5 secondary antibodies were obtained from Molecular Probes or Jackson Laboratories. DNA was stained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI).

Preparations were examined under oil at 25 °C with a Nikon Eclipse TE2000-U with Nikon Plan APO VC 60×1.4NA objective, with 1.5× integrated zoom, using a Hamamatsu c4848–056 camera. Pictures were captured using IPLab software, converted to TIFF files, pseudocoloured, and merged in Adobe Photoshop CS3. Individual channels were adjusted where applicable to maximise pixel range.

Bioinformatics analysis. MS identities were received in the form of database accession numbers. In-house Perl script was used to match identities to Celera Gene names (CG number) for use in subsequent data analysis.

Databases used were SwissProt: http://www.uniprot.org, NCBI: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov, and MSDB: http://www.matrixscience.com. Redundant and incorrect hits (matches to Drosophila pseudobscura) were removed. Proteins encoded by genes were classified according to their function at http://www.geneontology.org [35]. Levels of individual data mining of references in Flybase (http://www.flybase.org). Uncharacterised proteins were those that have not been investigated previously.

Protein–protein interaction data were downloaded from http://www.thebiogrid.org [43] (April 1st, 2007, release) and supplemented with interactions stored in http://www.flybase.org. Redundant interactions were removed, giving 2,793 pairwise interactions, consisting mainly of Y2H interactions. All networks were visualised using Osprey Network Visualisation Tool (v1.2.1) [54]. To determine whether the MAP set contained more direct pairwise interactions than a group of randomly selected proteins, in-house Perl script was used to construct a random set of Drosophila proteins and count the pairwise interactions within that set. A total of 1,000 repeats were run to achieve and plot a distribution of the data.
Supporting Information

Figure S1. 2D and 1D Polyacrylamide Gels of a Control MT Cosedimentation Assay

A MT cosedimentation assay was performed in the absence of Taxol and the “pellet” solubilised in protein sample buffer. When proportions of the pellet, similar to those used for the MS of the pellet in the presence of Taxol, were subjected to 2D gel electrophoresis, approximately 80 features were visible (A). No features were visible in the control pellet when 1D gel electrophoresis was used (B). (S) control supernatant, (P) control pellet. The control 2D gel was superimposed onto the MT cosedimentation gel using a custom version of Melanie II software (BioRad). Any coinciding features were avoided when choosing spots for mass spectrometry.

Found at doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060098.sg001 (1.36 MB TIF).

Figure S2. CG11963/SkAP Shares Homology to Succinate-CoA Ligase (A) Diagrammatic representation of CG11963/SkAP, showing a putative targeting sequence at the N-terminus (orange) (mitoprot prediction; http://lipid.gsf.de/lipg/mitoprot.html), and the conserved ATP-grasp (blue) and CoA-ligase domains (green) found in Succinate-CoA ligase family members. Note the presence of a highly charged C-terminal extension in CG11963/SkAP (red).

(B) Alignment of CG11963/SkAP protein sequence with Escherichia coli Succinate-CoA ligase family members using ClustalW. Black stars indicate conserved residues. Orange residues indicate predicted mitochondrial targeting sequence. Red stars indicate residues conserved from mammals through to Escherichia coli within the nucleotide binding domain [44].

Found at doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060098.sg002 (2.27 MB TIF).

Figure S3. Characterisation of Anti-SkpA and Anti-SkAP Antibodies

(A) Full-length Western blots of Drosophila embryo extracts probed with affinity-purified rabbit anti-SkAP and anti-SkAP antibodies. The antibodies recognise bands of the predicted molecular weight.

In addition, the SkpA antibody recognises a band of slightly lower molecular weight and at lower intensity.

(B) The 0–4 h embryo extract treated with λ phosphatase buffer (Com) or phosphatase buffer in the presence of λ phosphatase (Ptase) for 30 min at 37 °C, prior to Western blot analysis using the anti-SkAP antibody. The bands recognised by the antibodies do not resolve, suggesting they are not differentially phosphorylated forms of SkpA.

(C) A control immunoprecipitation to show the specificity of the anti-SkA immunoprecipitation described in Figure 5. Immobilised anti-Pnut antibodies were used to precipitate Pnut from 0–4 h embryo extracts. Neither SkAP nor SkpA coprecipitate with Pnut. Control, control precipitate; P, bound precipitate; T, total embryo extract; U, unbound supernatant.

(D and E) Localisation of SkpA (D) and SkAP (E) in larval neuroblasts. Cells were fixed according to [53] and stained to visualise DNA (blue), MTs (green), and either SkpA or SkAP (red). Both proteins localise to centrosomes throughout the cell cycle. Scale bars indicate 10 μm.

Found at doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060098.sg003 (1.41 MB TIF).

Table S1. List of 270 Putative MAPs, Including Mass Spectrometry Peptide Sequence Identification

The table includes all 270 proteins identified in the MT cosedimentation assay, listing the CG number, synonyms, SWISS-PROT-calculated molecular weight(s), the experiment in which it was identified (i.e., 1D or 2D analysis), and the peptide sequences and scores associated with each protein. Each individual hit was assigned a number and grouped into functional classification by GO, for ease of cross reference (Figure 2; Table S2). In a small number of cases, a peptide, or set of peptides, matched to more than one GO, and the shared number, but are differentiated by a letter. Therefore, although 270 potential MAPs were identified, these are numbered from 1–257. Where duplicated peptide sequences span more than one functional grouping, a star is shown next to the number.

Found at doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060098.s001 (620 KB DOC).

Table S2. Table of 270 Hits, Classified in Functional Groups, According to Gene Ontology (GO)

All 270 MAPs were classified into functional groups according to GO (Figure 2). Each number assigned relates to those numbers given in Table S1. Where a protein possesses more than one GO, the primary functional GO based on mutational analysis was used. Each GO code and associated descriptions are listed to show the justification for assignment of a particular functional group.

Found at doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060098.s002 (351 KB DOC).

Table S3. List of Primers Used for Generation of dsRNA

The table includes all the primers used for dsRNA generation. CG numbers are indicated along with a number that relates to those given in Table S1. More than one set of primers for genes are indicated when the phenotype was rechecked or when more than one transcript was known to exist.

Found at doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060098.s003 (139 KB DOC).

Table S4. Raw Data of Scored RNAi Phenotypes for Strong Hits and Hits

The initial RNAi data collection for previously uncharacterised MAPs showing a phenotype based on the categories stated. Only the data for strong hits and hits are shown. All comparisons were made in relation to the negative control (β-lactamase dsRNA) present in a particular experiment. Each RNAi experiment was subsequently repeated for both strong hits and hits, in order to confirm the phenotype (data not shown).

Found at doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060098.s004 (255 KB DOC).
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